

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 10/699,525 | 10/30/2003 | Ulf Grandlund | AWEK 2800 | 4207 |
| 7812 75 | 590 10/10/2006 | EXAMINER | | |
| SMITH-HILL AND BEDELL, P.C. 16100 NW CORNELL ROAD, SUITE 220 | | | LEUNG, JENNIFER A | |
| BEAVERTON, OR 97006 | , | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 1764 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006 | DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | _ |
|---|--|--|---|
| | | GRANDLUND ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/699,525 | | _ |
| omoo nodon cummary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | Jennifer A. Leung | 1764 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | opears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | |
| • | · is action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | | osecution as to the merits is | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicatio | n. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac | ccepted or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to th | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I | Examiner. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. | nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati fority documents have been receive | ion No | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | st of the certified copies not receive | ed. | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-10, 15 and 16, drawn to a catalytic converter unit, classified in class
 422, subclass 177.

II. Claims 11-14, drawn to a catalytic converter apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass 170.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the catalytic converter apparatus of claim 11 (the combination) does not require the particulars of the catalytic converter unit of claim 1 (the subcombination). For instance, the catalytic converter apparatus of claim 11 does not require the first and second transfer zones, the at least one through-flow zone, the partition wall, or the configuration of a catalytic converter element spaced from the partition wall of claim 1. The catalytic converter unit of claim 1 has separate utility, such as a stand-alone unit containing a single catalytic converter element for the purification of exhaust gas.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found

Art Unit: 1764

allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Page 3

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and their different fields of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

Application/Control Number: 10/699,525 Page 4

Art Unit: 1764

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer A. Leung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1449. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 5:30 pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn A. Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jennifer A. Leung September 30, 2006

> LEXA DOROSHENK NECKEL PRIMARY EXAMINER